

Clear advice
from Attorney
in need for
further Resolution

Am 1 31/1

File pre

10/12

Don't understand
it is

specifically said we did not
need further advice that well.

Prime Minister 10 Jan.

SECRET

Declassified

398

CO3
FRAPS (NR)
Press (AK)
Press (GP)
Japs

PRIME MINISTER

IRAQ

1. In view of your meeting with President Bush on Friday, I thought you might wish to know where I stand on the question of whether a further decision of the Security Council is legally required in order to authorise the use of force against Iraq.

2. Since we spoke on 14 January, when I passed you a note setting out my provisional views, I have had the benefit of a discussion with Sir Jeremy Greenstock. That meeting was extremely useful; it was in fact the first time that the arguments in support of the case that there is no need for a further Council decision had been put to me in detail. I was also able to obtain valuable background information on the history of the negotiations of resolution 1441. Sir Jeremy made some important points, which I have considered carefully. I am preparing a more detailed note of advice which will set out my conclusions in relation to these arguments.

3. I have also indicated to Sir David Manning that I would welcome the opportunity, if arrangements can be made in time, to hear the views of my US counterparts on the interpretation of resolution 1441. I am not convinced that this will make any difference to my view, but I remain ready to hear any arguments.

4. You should be aware that, notwithstanding the additional arguments put to me since our last discussion, I remain of the view that the correct legal interpretation of resolution 1441 is that it does not authorise the use of military force without a further determination by the Security Council, pursuant to paragraph 12 of the resolution, that Iraq's conduct indicates that it has failed to take the final opportunity granted by the Council. I recognise that arguments can be made to support the view that paragraph 12 of the resolution merely requires a Council discussion rather than a further decision. But having considered the arguments on both sides, my view remains that a further decision is required.

5. I have not copied this minute further.

Lord Goldsmith

The Rt Hon the Lord Goldsmith QC
30 January 2003